

Hwa Chong Institution (High School)

Projects Competition 2012

Oral Judging Finals Rubrics for Category 11: Future Trends Judging Rubrics

Criteria	Points					Points Awarded	Weighting	Sub-Total	
	0	1	2	3	4				5
Action Plan Skit									
Clarity and Feasibility of Action Plan	The skit is very sketchy and/or confusing. It is no more than a proposed solution with no details provided whatsoever.	The skit is confusing and it is unclear how the team will implement their action plan. The time frame provided for the implementation of the action plan is highly dubious, and there is no chance the action plan will succeed.	The skit is unclear at times. The time frame provided for the implementation of the action plan is questionable. Overall, it is highly doubtful that the action plan is feasible.	The skit is reasonably clear. The time frame provided for the implementation of the action plan may however be questionable. Overall, some doubt exists as to whether the action plan is feasible.	The skit is very clear. The time frame provided for the implementation of the action plan is reasonable. Overall, the action plan is convincing.	The skit is exceptionally clear. The time frame provided for the implementation of the action plan is highly reasonable. Overall, the action plan is highly convincing.		X2	0
Creativity and Futuristic Element of Action Plan	The skit makes absolutely no reference to the future and does not tap on future trends.	The skit simply makes reference to the future occasionally without reasonable connections to future trends drawn. The action plan is unoriginal / uninnovative.	The skit is set in the future but this may not be consistently evident in the performance. Connections to future trends are occasionally drawn. The action plan is somewhat original / innovative.	The skit is set in the future and there is a genuine attempt at making this reasonably evident in the performance. Connections to future trends are occasionally drawn. The action plan is somewhat original / innovative.	The skit is set in the future and this is made consistently evident in the performance. Connections to future trends are consistently drawn. The action plan is original / innovative.	The skit is set in the future and this is evident throughout the performance. Connections to future trends are consistently drawn. The action plan is exceptionally original / innovative.		X2	0
Audience Engagement	Completely ineffective use of props, mystery object, futuristic visual and tagline. Audience is completely disengaged.	Largely ineffective use of props, mystery object, futuristic visual and tagline. Audience is largely disengaged.	Somewhat effective but uncreative use of props, mystery object, futuristic visual and tagline. Audience is more often than not disengaged.	Effective but uncreative use of props, mystery object, futuristic visual and tagline. Audience is somewhat engaged.	Effective and somewhat creative use of props, mystery object, futuristic visual and tagline, demonstrating audience engagement.	Creative and effective use of props, mystery object, futuristic visual and tagline, demonstrating exceptional audience engagement.		X2	0

Oral Presentation (Evaluation of Action Plan)									
Quality of Action Plan Evaluation	Absolutely no evaluation of action plan	Attempts at evaluating action plan are unclear, unfocused and haphazard. Minimal effort shown.	Explanation of the effectiveness of the action plan is not well thought out. Analysis of the consequences and effects of the action plan lacks detail. There is no validation of action plan.	Explains the effectiveness of the action plan. Analysis of the consequences and effects of the action plan is detailed. Validation of action plan through research and/or expert help is inadequately carried out.	Explains the effectiveness of the action plan. Analysis of the consequences and effects of the action plan is detailed. There is a genuine attempt at validation of action plan through research and/or expert help.	Explains thoroughly the effectiveness of the action plan. Analysis of the consequences and effects of the action plan is exceptionally detailed and well-thought. Evaluation is validated through highly relevant research and/or expert help.		X2	0
Delivery	The team display absolutely no interest, mumble and are completely unclear, provide absolutely no eye contact / read report off notes/screen completely. Not all members have presented.	The team displays much negativity, tend to speak softly and unclearly, provide no eye contact and are almost completely reliant on notes / the screen. Not all members have presented.	The team display little enthusiasm, are often unclear in speech, provide minimal eye contact and are heavily reliant on notes / the screen. Not all members have presented.	The team display some enthusiasm, are more often than not clear in speech, provide some eye contact but still return to notes / the screen. All members must present.	The team display enthusiasm, are largely clear in speech, consistently provide eye contact but still return to notes / the screen. All members must present.	The team display strong enthusiasm, speak clearly, provide direct eye contact with the audience and seldom look at notes. All members must present.		X1	0
Response to Questions	Totally unable / does not try to respond with relevance to any of the questions.	Tries but struggles to respond with relevance to any of the questions.	Able to respond to some of the questions. Some answers may be irrelevant or lack elaboration.	Able to respond with well-thought out answers with full and in-depth elaboration to some of the questions.	Able to respond with well-thought out answers with full and in-depth elaboration to most of the questions.	Always able to respond with well-thought out answers with full and in-depth elaboration to all of the questions.		X1	0

Oral judging makes up 50% of Finals grades. The other 50% goes towards the Portfolio

Total: 0 /50

- A*: 75% or more
- A: 65% - 74%
- B+: less than 64%