



Suggestions for improvements:	Score (write score value to be awarded in the spaces provided)		
1. Objectives and Hypothesis (Score = _____)	Poor (1)	Fair (2-3)	Good (4-5)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gives a brief introduction to research topic (rationale, background etc.) Purpose of the experiment is clearly identified and stated. Hypothesis(es) are testable and achievable. 			
2. Materials and methods (Score X 2 = _____)	Poor (1-4)	Fair (5-7)	Good (8-10)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Materials are clearly and accurately described. Control (if relevant) is stated and explained for its relevance. Independent, dependent and controlled variables are clearly stated. Steps listed are clear and easy to follow. Protocol described with appropriate details. No major flaw in experimental design. Experiment design shows sufficient depth and rigour. Shows some creativity in manipulation of the equipment/known procedure. 			
3. Data analysis (Score X 1.5 = _____)	Poor (1-4)	Fair (5-7)	Good (8-10)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient and relevant data are collected. Data is presented in an appropriate format (eg charts, graphs, photos, tables). Descriptive statistics are used (mean and standard error are indicated) as appropriate. Statistically relevant tests are used as appropriate. Valid conclusion is inferred from data. Takes into account any limitations imposed by method in the protocol. Brief discussion of results is done. 			
4. Presentation (Score = _____)	Poor (1)	Fair (2-3)	Good (4-5)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content is well organised. Speak clearly and confidently in standard English all the time Able to hold judges attention and sustain/provoke audience's interest. All members who are present participated in oral presentation. 			
5. Response (Score = _____)	Poor (1)	Fair (2-3)	Good (4-5)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts to answer all queries confidently. Well thought out and elaborated answers. 			